The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board

Paper No. 28

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte MASUO OHNISHI, TOYOKAZU HAMAGUCHI and HIROSHI MUTOH JUL 1 1 2003

MAILED

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application 09/184,878

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before Stoner, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, Harkcom, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and William F. Smith, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

## Per curiam.

On May 9, 2003, counsel for the appellants filed, among other documents, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR § 1.114 (Paper No. 27). Pursuant to the notice entitled "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice," 65 Fed. Reg. 50092, 50095 (Aug. 16, 2000), and the provisions of 37 CFR § 1.114(d), a request for continued examination

Appeal No. 2002-0712 **Application 09/184,878** 

under 37 CFR § 1.114 filed after appeal has been taken, but prior to a decision on the appeal, "it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner."

Accordingly, the appeal in this application is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be promptly informed of any action affecting the appeal in this case.

> Bruce H. Stoner, Jr., Ch Administrative Patent Judge

Gary V. Harkcom, Vice Chief

Administrative Patent Judge

) BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND

**INTERFERENCES** 

Administrative Patent Judge

cam

Appeal No. 2002-0712 Application 09/184,878

William L. Brooks ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006